UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,285	08/03/2005	Wolfgang Kentner	2003P00255WOUS	1328	
John T Winburi	7590 08/26/200 <b>1</b>	EXAMINER			
	pliances Corporation	NORMAN, MARC E			
100 Bosch Blvd New Bern, NC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3744		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/544,285	KENTNER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Marc E. Norman	3744	

	Marc E. Norman	3744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire language.</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on the propertion of the properties of the	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c</li> </ul>			ne issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but Regarding claim 14, Applicant argued that the Examiner the conclusion that it would have been obvious to insulate Office Action clearly states that it would have ben obviou the purpose of reducing heat exchange between the com- compartment is set at a different temperature. According maintained as proper.	failed to articulate reasoning with see the housing of Miozza. The Exames to one of ordinary skill in the art to partment and the rest of the refriger	ome rationale underp miner respectfully disa o insulate the housing erator when the tempe	inning to support agrees. The of Miozza for erature within the
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (late of the content	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

/Marc E. Norman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090824